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Despite enormous efforts implemented in the early detection and timely treatment of acute coronary syndromes, acute myocardial infarction continues to be the most common cause of death worldwide (1). Prompt diagnosis of acute coronary occlusion and early reperfusion therapy are essential to reduce the morbidity and mortality in patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) (2). In practice, however, the full spectrum of electrocardiography (ECG) abnormalities indicating acute coronary ischemia or occlusion requiring immediate cardiac catheterization go beyond the well-known ST-segment elevation pattern.

Several high-risk ECG patterns have been reported in association with acute myocardial ischemia due to critical stenosis or occlusion of a coronary artery. These patterns include the de Winter syndrome pattern (4); isolated posterior STEMI, a challenge to diagnose with a 12-lead ECG but easy to recognize with additional posterior leads V7 to V9; Wellens syndrome with often evolving T-wave abnormality (signs A and B) (5); the hyperacute T waves, usually preceding recognizable ST-segment elevation; and the “shark fin” sign (Figure 1). Furthermore, ECG criteria have been proposed to identify acute myocardial infarction with moderate-to-high probability in patients with pre-existing conditions that alter the QRS amplitude and width, as well as the J-point and/or ST-segment on resting ECG, such as left bundle branch block (LBBB) (6,7), right ventricular paced rhythm (8), and left ventricular hypertrophy. Integration of clinical evaluation along with serial ECGs, including assessment of previous ECGs recorded at an asymptomatic state, often assists in optimal decision making.

As primary percutaneous intervention (PCI) has been rapidly adopted as the default reperfusion strategy for STEMI worldwide, clearly defined criteria are essential for accurate diagnosis and timely referral of patients. In current practice, ST-segment elevation at the J-point, ≥1 mm in ≥2 adjacent leads (other than leads V2 and V3, where elevation of ≥2 mm in men or ≥1.5 mm in women is considered significant) or a new LBBB is commonly used for STEMI diagnosis (2). However, these general ECG criteria fail to identify the STEMI equivalents. Therefore, patients with STEMI equivalents who do not present with these typical ECG changes despite having an acutely occluded coronary artery are more likely to undergo a delayed revascularization.
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FIGURE 1  ECG Patterns Hinting for Potentially High-Risk Critical Coronary Artery Stenosis or Occlusion

(A) Conventional STEMI. (B to K) Potential STEMI equivalents. (I) Modification of the Sgarbossa criterion 3 by Smith et al. (7) improved the test performance. According to the Smith-modified Sgarbossa rule, in the setting of a left bundle branch block or ventricular paced rhythm, a cutoff value of ≥3 points with the 3 criteria (G to I) has 91% sensitivity and 90% specificity for STEMI. ECG = electrocardiography; LVH = left ventricular hypertrophy; STEMI = ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction.
treatment. Thus, due to this under-recognition and lack of timely management, they often experience a worse clinical outcome and poor prognosis (9,10).

Although clinicians caring for patients presenting with chest pain rely upon ECG findings as the essential noninvasive test for identifying those who might benefit from primary PCI, their awareness of these high-risk ECG patterns are pivotal in early recognition to provide adequate treatment. However, currently, the STEMI equivalents are neither adequately covered in teaching curricula nor properly addressed by the current guidelines (11).

The authors certainly acknowledge that the ECG by itself is often insufficient to diagnose acute myocardial ischemia or infarction and that all ECG findings should be interpreted in the setting of clinical presentation (12). However, it must be emphasized that, as not all ST-segment elevation patterns represent a “true STEMI” (e.g., previous myocardial infarction, left ventricular hypertrophy, Takotsubo cardiomyopathy), not all acute coronary occlusions needing primary PCI manifest the typical ST-segment elevation. Fine-tuning our recognition of this wide range of ECG patterns, hinting for potentially life-threatening coronary stenosis or occlusion, may allow faster diagnosis, resulting in proper treatment and improved patient outcomes.
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