Approach to syncope in the emergency department
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ABSTRACT
Syncope is a common reason for ED attendance and it presents a major management challenge with regard to the appropriate workup and disposition. Nearly 50% of patients are admitted, and for many this is unnecessary; clinical decision rules have not proven to decrease unnecessary admissions. The European Society of Cardiology has recently developed guidance for managing syncope in the ED. This article highlights the key steps in evaluating syncope in the ED, factors involved in determining risk of a cardiac cause, and considerations for admission, observation or discharge.

INTRODUCTION
Syncope is a common reason for ED attendance and it presents a major management challenge with regard to the appropriate workup and disposition. There is a lack of high-quality evidence-based strategies to enable clinicians to determine which patients have benign causes, are at high risk of short-term adverse events or at high risk of long-term adverse outcome. Despite a relatively low incidence of short-term adverse events (table 1), admission rates remain high with limited alternative strategies. This is due, in most hospitals, to a lack of a clear lead specialty, specialist syncope experts, specialist ambulatory syncope units and specialist outpatient syncope clinics.¹ While those who attend the ED are likely to represent the more extreme end of the syncope spectrum, some patients with high-risk features may attend general practice (GP). This article may also be helpful to guide GP referrals to routine or urgent rapid access syncope clinics or cardiology outpatient services. The majority of patients who either visit their GP or who do not seek any medical attention are more likely to be younger and more likely to have had an episode of reflex syncope.²

CASE EXAMPLES
Case 1
A male aged 75 years presents to the ED having experienced a sudden transient loss of consciousness (TLOC) while waiting for a bus with his granddaughter aged 8 years. He fell to the floor and a passer-by phoned for an ambulance, as he was slow to recover even after 10 min. He has treated moderate hypertension but otherwise no other previous medical history. He recalls feeling light-headed, sweaty and nauseated for several minutes prior to the collapse and his granddaughter recalls him looking pale and not responding to her for a minute or so prior to the collapse and then having a short-lived episode of shaking immediately after the collapse. In the ED, he feels back to normal but still feels a little confused about the incident. Physical examination is normal. The ECG recorded on arrival shows first-degree heart block.

Case 2
A male aged 75 years presents to the ED having experienced a sudden TLOC while driving. He collided at slow speed with a lamppost and a passer-by phoned for an ambulance. He has treated mild hypertension but otherwise no other previous medical history. He has no recollection of the incident or the moments preceding it. In the ED he feels back to normal. Physical examination is normal. The ECG recorded on arrival shows first-degree heart block.

Case 3
A male aged 45 years presents to the ED having experienced a sudden TLOC while carrying a cup of tea across his kitchen. He fell to the floor and was found by his wife who heard a crash from the room next door. He recovered within 5 min and was brought to the ED by his wife. He has had one previous episode of TLOC 3 weeks prior. He has no previous medical history. Physical examination reveals superficial burns to his anterior chest wall, but cardiovascular exam is normal. The ECG recorded on arrival shows sinus rhythm without evidence of ischaemia or conduction disturbance.

IS THIS SYNCOPED? All three patients have undoubtedly had an episode of TLOC. The two most common causes for this are syncope and neurological seizure. Differentiation of the two is not always straightforward; the 2018 European Society of Cardiology (ESC) guidelines for the diagnosis and management of syncope highlight the difficulty of diagnosing TLOC as being of syncopal origin (ie, due to cerebral hypoperfusion) in the ED.³ SYNcope Expert Research Group International⁴ suggest a pragmatic definition of syncope: ‘a transient loss of consciousness, associated with inability to maintain postural tone and with immediate spontaneous and complete recovery’.⁴ A very careful history is needed to differentiate syncope from epilepsy and other non-TLOC conditions such as presyncope, lightheadedness, vertigo, disequilibrium, mechanical and collapse (ie, loss of postural tone). In the absence of witnesses, information from the patient regarding prodrome, provocation and prior history can be useful; information from witnesses, particularly on the time to recovery will be extremely helpful. Where paramedics are involved, examine the ambulance notes for initial observations and review any prehospital ECG.
These are a great source of useful information that can be hard to locate later down the line.

In most cases, the ED clinician can establish the presenting complaint of syncope. ED clinicians should not label TLOC patients as ‘collapse query cause’. This implies a lack of attention to the history of the event and leads to poor patient management, treatment and disposition decisions.

Presyncope is the feeling of being about to pass out without actual LOC. Presyncope has ordinarily thought to be associated with a better prognosis compared with syncope and should be classified separately. However, some recent studies have suggested that patients presenting with presyncope may have outcomes similar to those observed in patients with syncope and should be managed similarly to syncope as it carries the same prognosis.

Case 1 presents the most challenging distinction between syncope and neurological seizure. However, syncope is more likely. It is common for patients with syncope to have short-lived seizure like activity (anoxic seizure). The presence of a prodrome of lightheadedness, feeling of warmth and sweating makes syncope much more likely.

**IS THERE A SERIOUS UNDERLYING DIAGNOSIS?**

In the ED, once the presenting complaint of syncope is established, a serious underlying diagnosis must next be sought. It is essential to identify conditions such as ruptured abdominal aortic aneurysm and severe upper gastrointestinal bleeding that if undetected, can cause rapid deterioration. An underlying diagnosis can be identified in the ED in around 50% of patients. Of the underlying diagnoses that are serious, non-cardiovascular (ie, pulmonary embolus/ruptured abdominal aortic aneurysm/upper gastrointestinal bleeding/subarachnoid haemorrhage) are more likely to be recognised in the ED than cardiovascular conditions, especially underlying arrhythmia (unless present on admission ECG). If a precipitating diagnosis is found, management of the patient should follow the recommended practice for that condition.

**WHAT IS THE RISK OF A SERIOUS OUTCOME IN PATIENTS WITH SYNCOPES?**

If an underlying diagnosis cannot be identified in the ED, subsequent management will be guided by assessment of the risk of a serious outcome, notably a future major cardiovascular event or sudden cardiac death. Risk stratification includes determining the type of syncope and the patient’s risk factors for a cardiac event.

There are three main categories of syncope. A patient thought likely to have a reflex or postural categorisation will be at high risk of serious outcome. A patient thought likely to have a cardiac categorisation will be at high risk of serious outcome. A patient thought likely to have a reflex or postural categorisation will be at high risk of serious outcome.

**Table 1** Admission rate and composite estimate of short-term (7–30 days) outcomes of patients presenting in ED with TLOC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author/year/country</th>
<th>Patients with TLOC</th>
<th>Number admitted</th>
<th>7–30 days death</th>
<th>7–30 days non-fatal severe outcome* identified in the ED</th>
<th>7–30 days non-fatal severe outcome* identified after initial visit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Costantino et al, 2008, Italy</td>
<td>676</td>
<td>218 (32%)</td>
<td>5 (0.7%)</td>
<td>36 (5.3%)</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brigone et al, 2006, Italy</td>
<td>465</td>
<td>178 (38%)</td>
<td>6 (1.3%)</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reed et al, 2010, UK</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>541 (49%)</td>
<td>17 (1.5%)</td>
<td>79 (7.2%)</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ungar et al, 2015, Italy</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>92 (31%)</td>
<td>1 (0.3%)</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birnbaum et al, 2008, USA</td>
<td>713</td>
<td>613 (86%)</td>
<td>4 (0.6%)</td>
<td>57 (8.0%)</td>
<td>32 (4.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grossman et al, 2007, USA</td>
<td>293</td>
<td>201 (69%)</td>
<td>7 (2.4%)</td>
<td>68 (23%)</td>
<td>56 (19%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quinn et al, 2004, USA</td>
<td>684</td>
<td>376 (55%)</td>
<td>5 (0.7%)</td>
<td>79 (11.5%)</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quinn et al, 2006, USA</td>
<td>760</td>
<td>448 (59%)</td>
<td>3 (0.4%)</td>
<td>108 (14.2%)</td>
<td>54 (7.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schladenhaufen et al, 2008, USA</td>
<td>517</td>
<td>312 (60%)</td>
<td>5 (1.0%)</td>
<td>98 (19%)</td>
<td>80 (15.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sun et al, 2007, USA</td>
<td>477</td>
<td>277 (58%)</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>56 (11.7%)</td>
<td>40 (8.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daccarett et al, 2011, USA</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>118 (46%)</td>
<td>1 (0.4%)</td>
<td>15 (5.9%)</td>
<td>8 (3.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thiruganasambamoothy et al, 2014, Canada</td>
<td>505</td>
<td>62 (12%)</td>
<td>5 (1.0%)</td>
<td>49 (9.7%)</td>
<td>22 (4.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thiruganasambamoothy et al, 2015, Canada</td>
<td>3662†</td>
<td>474 (13%)</td>
<td>31 (0.9%)</td>
<td>345 (10.3%)</td>
<td>225 (6.7%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Median (IQR)**

49% (32–59) 0.8% (0.6–1.1) 10.3% (7.6–13.0) 6.9% (4.5–10.3) 3.6% (3.4–5.3)
Patients with low-risk features

Patients with low-risk features only are likely to have reflex or orthostatic syncope (box 2). The syncopal event will include an associated prodrome or typical precipitating event (eg, a sudden unexpected unpleasant sight or sound, or prolonged standing), the patient’s medical history may include a long history of recurrent syncope with low-risk features and an absence of structural heart disease. Physical examination and ECG will be normal. Reflex syncope generally confers an excellent prognosis, and orthostatic syncope is also low risk but may carry a slightly poorer prognosis than reflex or situational syncope due to comorbidities.

A patient with only low-risk characteristics and without any high-risk characteristics can be discharged safely from the ED

that can be used for ED risk stratification (box 2). Once ED risk stratification has been undertaken, the ESC ED risk stratification flow chart (figure 1) should be used to determine subsequent management.

### Cardiac syncope (generally high risk)

- Arrhythmia, eg, bradycardia or tachycardia.
- Structural, eg, aortic stenosis, hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, pulmonary embolus.

### Reflex (neurally mediated) syncope (generally low risk)

- Vasovagal
  - Orthostatic vasovagal syncope that is triggered by standing.
  - Emotional, eg, triggered by fear or venepuncture.
  - Pain triggered.
- Situational
  - Micturition.
  - Gastrointestinal, eg, swallow syncope, defaecation syncope.
- Coughing/sneezing.
- Other, eg, laugh syncope.
- Carotid sinus syncope.
- Atypical, ie, without prodrome/triggers.

The above can be predominantly

- Cardioinhibitory reflex syncope—leads to a low cardiac output.
- Vasodepressor reflex syncope—leads to a low peripheral resistance.
- Mixed—combination of cardioinhibitory and vasodepressor.

### Orthostatic syncope (generally low risk)

- Drug-induced.
- Volume depletion.
- Primary autonomic failure, eg, Parkinson’s disease.
- Secondary autonomic failure, eg, diabetes.

The above can be exacerbated after exercise, meals or prolonged bed rest due to venous pooling.

**OH can be**
- Classic (time from upright position to abnormal BP response <3 min).
- Delayed (time from upright position to abnormal BP response >3 min).

#### Box 1  Main categories of causes of syncope grouped by common pathophysiology, presentation and risk

#### Box 2  ED risk stratification as recommended by the 2018 ESC guidelines for the diagnosis and management of syncope

**Syncopal event**

**Low risk**

- Associated prodrome typical of reflex syncope (eg, lightheadedness, feeling of warmth, sweating, nausea, vomiting).
- After sudden unexpected unpleasant sight, sound, smell or pain.
- After prolonged standing or crowded, hot places.
- During a meal or postprandial.
- Triggered by cough, defaecation or micturition.
- With head rotation or pressure on carotid sinus (eg, tumour, shaving, tight collars).
- Standing from supine/sitting position.

**High risk (red flag)**

**Major**

- New onset of chest discomfort, breathlessness, abdominal pain or headache.
- Syncope during exertion or when supine.
- Sudden-onset palpitation immediately followed by syncope. **Minor (high risk only if associated with structural heart disease or abnormal ECG):**
  - No warning symptoms or short (<10 s) prodrome.
  - Family history of sudden cardiac death at young age.
  - Syncope in the sitting position.

**Medical history**

**Low risk**

- Long history (years) of recurrent syncope with low-risk features with the same characteristics of the current episode.
- Absence of structural heart disease.

**High risk (red flag)**

**Major**

- Severe structural or coronary artery disease (heart failure, low left ventricular ejection fraction or previous myocardial infarction).

**Physical examination**

**Low risk**

- Normal examination.

**High risk (red flag)**

- Unexplained systolic BP in the ED <90 mm Hg.
- Suggestion of gastrointestinal bleed on rectal examination.
- Persistent bradycardia (<40 beats per min (bpm)) in awake state and in absence of physical training.
- Undiagnosed systolic murmur.

**ECG**

**Low risk**

- Normal ECG.

**High risk (red flag)**

**Major**

- ECG changes consistent with acute ischaemia.
- Mobitz II second-degree and third-degree atrioventricular (AV) block.

Continued
with a likely diagnosis of reflex or orthostatic syncope. They can be managed with adequate patient education that may be started in the ED and may benefit from a low-risk syncope advice sheet and reassurance and/or education that can be provided by their GP.

Some patients with episodes causing injury or frequent episodes may benefit from referral to a specialist syncope clinic and need further investigation to guide specific treatment. Examples here include pacemaker insertion in cardioinhibitory reflex syncope or drug treatment in vasodepressor reflex syncope. In the event of associated injury or social or welfare reasons, some may require admission to hospital. However in general, admission to hospital for patients with low-risk features is inefficient as they can be safely discharged home from the ED, significantly reducing hospital admissions, costs and adverse outcomes associated with unnecessary admission.

Patients with high-risk features

These patients will have no associated prodrome or typical precipitating event, a medical history including structural heart disease or an abnormal physical examination or ECG (box 2). They are at risk of cardiac syncope.

Structural heart disease and primary electrical disease are major risk factors for sudden cardiac death and overall mortality in patients with syncope. They may require urgent advanced investigation such as echocardiography, ECG monitoring, specialised cardiovascular tests and review from an expert in syncope±treatment. They must not be discharged from the ED unless this can occur during the ED stay, in a syncope clinical decision/investigation unit or in a rapid follow-up clinic. The optimum duration of ECG monitoring after the index episode is unclear but is likely to lie between 4 and 24 hours. ECG monitoring should occur in an area where resuscitation facilities are available.

Exercise-associated syncope

Exercise-associated syncope is defined as syncope occurring during or immediately after exercise. Although most cases are benign, especially those associated with postexercise collapse which are commonly reflex, patients with exercise-associated syncope include groups of patients at high risk of sudden death.
Expert practice review

and conditions such as arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy (ARVC), Brugada syndrome and hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (HCM) should be considered. These can present with syncope during exercise without warning.

ARVC is an inherited cardiac disorder associated with paroxysmal ventricular arrhythmias and sudden cardiac death. ECG characteristics include the epsilon wave (a small positive deflection at the end of the QRS complex, seen in 30% of patients), T wave inversions in V1–V3 (85% of patients), prolonged S-wave upstroke of 55 ms in V1–V3 (95% of patients), localised QRS widening of 110 ms in V1–V3 and paroxysmal episodes of ventricular tachycardia with left bundle branch block morphology (figure 2).

Brugada syndrome is an ECG abnormality with a high incidence of sudden death in a patient with a structurally normal heart. There are three types, the most common, type 1 is associated with a coved ST-segment elevation >2 mm in >1 of V1–V3 followed by a negative T wave, a pattern that has been referred to as the Brugada sign (figure 3).

HCM is an inherited cardiac disorder associated with left ventricular hypertrophy (LVH) occurring in the absence of any inciting stimulus such as hypertension or aortic stenosis. The most commonly observed pattern is asymmetrical thickening of the anterior interventricular septum with the ECG showing LVH with associated ST-segment/T-wave abnormalities and deep narrow Q waves <40 ms wide in the lateral leads I, aVL and V5–V6 (figure 4).

Patients with exercise-associated syncope or suspected ARVC, Brugada syndrome or HCM can be managed in an ED syncope clinical decision/investigation unit and/or a rapid access syncope clinic but if these are not available they are likely to require hospital admission.

Syncope with no prodrome

Patients with trauma (commonly facial due to unconsciousness meaning they are unable to put their hand out) and those without prodromes and/or without apparent triggers and/or atypical presentation (termed non-classical reflex syncope forms) should be considered for further arrhythmia investigation even if they are of younger age. This is because arrhythmic syncope is associated with no or <3 s of prodrome. On the other hand, this prodrome is up to 3 min in reflex syncope. Case 3 has no other concerning features in the history, exam or ECG, although he suffered significant trauma (burns) from the episode and had no prodrome. Similarly, in case 2 the patient had no clear trigger, and no prodrome, making this a high-risk event.

Patients without high-risk or low-risk features

These patients will have no low-risk characteristics and none or only minor high-risk characteristics. It will not be clear whether the underlying diagnosis is cardiac, reflex or orthostatic syncope. Case three is a good example of such a patient; the only high-risk feature is the lack of prodrome. This patient will require urgent syncope opinion probably via a specialist outpatient clinic. They probably don’t need to be admitted to hospital unless an ED syncope clinical decision unit or rapid access syncope clinic is not available.

Patients with both high-risk and low-risk features

These patients should generally be managed as high-risk. However, if a patient who is high-risk according to medical history or abnormal ECG presents with a clear benign low-risk story (ie, the syncopal event is a low-risk 3 min prodromal period in which they were presyncopal, nauseated and diaphoretic) then they do not require admission. They will require investigation for any potential underlying condition (eg, physical examination revealed a likely murmur of aortic stenosis or ECG suggested long QT syndrome), but this is not likely to be the cause of the index event.
Does the patient need to be admitted to hospital?

Many admissions are unnecessary; two-thirds of serious outcomes occur while the patient is in the ED and the rate of post-ED serious outcome is actually quite low at 3.6% in the following month (table 1). Currently, approximately 50% of patients who present to the ED with syncope (although the range is wide10 15 25–35 33,47 (table 1) are admitted, and this has not been changed with clinical decision rule use.35

Patients requiring syncope-related treatment and some patients with severe coexisting disease or injury caused by the index event may require hospital admission. There is evidence that ED syncope clinical decision/investigation units and/or rapid access syncope clinics are beneficial in achieving the appropriate workup for high-risk patients36 37 including those with exertional syncope, associated palpitations or suspected device malfunction.26 If an ED syncope clinical decision/investigation unit or a rapid access syncope clinic is not available then high-risk patients are likely to require hospital admission.

Clinical decision rules

There are many ED syncope clinical decision rules and risk-stratification tools that use medical history, examination and ECG findings to stratify patients by their risk of developing both short-term (ie, 7–30 days) and long-term (ie, 1 year) serious outcomes. Examples of these are the ROSE rule, San Francisco syncope rule, OESIL, ST ePS and the Canadian Syncope Risk Score.9 10 15 25 29 38 These do not seem to outperform clinical judgement,39 tend to have low specificity, thus increasing admissions and have been variability adopted. Some rules and tools have included age. While older patients are undoubtedly at higher risk of adverse outcome after syncope, including age in such tools only reduces their specificity leading to over admission.

There are other guidelines available for use in the ED such as National Institute for Health and Care Excellence.30 However, the new ESC guidelines are the first to very specifically guide the ED clinician as to which patients should be deemed high risk while also attempting to reduce admission rates with alternative investigative strategies (eg, syncope assessment/decision units and rapid access syncope clinics).

Syncpe in the elderly

Syncpe is increasingly common with increasing age and is often multifactorial.41 Although older patients have a wide range of problems likely to cause syncope and do have a higher incidence of underlying cardiac disease, the ED clinician should not refrain from making a diagnosis of reflex or postural syncope in the absence of high-risk features and in the presence of features suggestive of a reflex or postural cause. Although the patient in case 1 is elderly, there is a prodrome, and a short recovery period and no ischaemic or serious conduction disturbances on ECG and reflex syncope is the most likely cause.

ED EVALUATION

Specific investigations should only be carried out to answer specific diagnostic questions. An ECG is essential. A completely normal ECG (as opposed to an ECG with non-specific changes) makes a cardiac cause of syncope other than transient arrhythmia less unlikely. First-degree heart block (as seen in case 1) is neither associated with a cardiac nor reflex cause of syncope. A bedside or laboratory glucose measurement should be performed to rule out hypoglycaemia, which may present as collapse or seizure.

Measurement of haemoglobin will rule out anaemia (and possible underlying bleeding) as a cause of collapse. Other very selective blood tests may include troponin when cardiac ischaemia-related syncope is suspected and ECG changes are present (see below), and D-dimer when pulmonary embolism is suspected.2 Serum prolactin has been measured in the past to distinguish between syncope and seizures but is of limited use clinically. No other investigations are routinely required.
including a CXR and CT brain, which are overordered in patients with syncope.

**Carotid sinus massage**

Carotid sinus massage (CSM) should be considered in patients over 40 years with reflex syncope of unknown origin (eg, not situational, related to GTN use, micturition, etc). There is no reason why this cannot be performed in the ED in an area equipped to manage a prolonged pause if the clinician is confident in performing the procedure.

Carotid sinus syndrome (CSS) is diagnosed if CSM causes symptomatic bradycardia and/or hypotension in patients with a history and clinical features of reflex syncope. Carotid sinus hypersensitivity is defined by positive CSM without a syncope history and may be a non-specific finding, being present in 40% of older people. The precise methodology and results of CSM can be found in section 5 of the Practical Instructions for the 2018 ESC guidelines for the diagnosis and management of syncope.

**ACTIVE STANDING TO MEASURE POSTURAL BP**

Classic orthostatic hypotension (time from upright position to abnormal BP response <3 min) and delayed orthostatic hypotension (time from upright position to abnormal BP response >3 min) can be diagnosed with traditional orthostatic BP measurement. Abnormal BP fall is defined as a progressive and sustained fall in systolic BP from baseline value >20 mm Hg or diastolic BP >10 mm Hg, or a decrease in systolic BP to <90 mm Hg. Other types of orthostatic hypotension exist that are less likely to be detected using standard procedures for orthostatic hypotension: initial orthostatic hypotension (time from upright position to abnormal BP response=10–15 s) and reflex-mediated hypotension (present on prolonged standing).

It is important that active standing is performed by the treating clinician and not delegated to ED nursing staff so that the ED decision maker can carefully observe symptoms and vital signs during the test. Patients who have received fluids may no longer have a positive active stand, although unless extremely symptomatic, patients with TLOC should not routinely receive prehospital or ED fluid administration. While a negative active stand test in the ED makes orthostatic hypotension less likely as a cause, a patient with a history of persistent syncope with orthostatic features but normal standard orthostatic BP testing should be referred for specialist opinion so these other types of orthostatic hypotension can be investigated.

**ECG RECORDING**

In addition to the 12-lead ECG, immediate ECG monitoring should be instigated when there is a suspicion of arrhythmic syncope. The new 2018 ESC syncope guidelines support an increased role of prolonged ECG monitoring when arrhythmic syncope is suspected. Establishing a cardiac arrhythmia as the cause of syncope rests on correlating the arrhythmia with symptoms using monitoring devices but these all have significant drawbacks. There is also very little evidence of how long patients suspected of having arrhythmic syncope should be monitored for and various times have been suggested from 24 hours to 28 days.

Cardiac arrhythmia investigation is usually initiated with the Holter monitor but non-compliance and lack of extended monitoring reduces diagnostic yield to <20%. Event recorders can monitor over longer periods of time but must be activated and cannot detect asymptomatic arrhythmias. External continuous loop recorders are expensive, require electrodes and bulky recording devices and produce a large amount of data, which require sifting. Implanted loop recorders are expensive and necessitate an invasive surgical procedure.

The PATCH-ED study, which used an ambulatory ECG monitor in ED patients with unexplained syncope, identified a symptomatic significant arrhythmia in 1 in 10 patients and a diagnostic finding in 3 in 4 patients. In this study, a third of the significant and symptomatic significant arrhythmias were captured within the first 24 hours (suggesting a role for prolonged monitoring in the ED or in hospital). The majority of the significant and symptomatic significant arrhythmias were captured in the first 7 days but some significant arrhythmias (mainly non-serious and asymptomatic) were picked up between days 8 and 14.

**ECHOCARDIOGRAPHY**

Although not routinely required, any patient with a murmur in the context of syncope definitely warrants echocardiography along with any patient with history, physical exam or ECG signs of structural heart disease. This does not need to be done in the ED but could be done in an observation facility or ideally within a few days in an outpatient rapid access syncope clinic. If neither is available then admission for inpatient echocardiography is required. Distinguishing between a benign flow murmur, aortic stenosis and subvalvular obstruction as can be found in HCM, can be difficult. As a rule, a shorter (rather than a quieter) ejection systolic murmur is more likely to be benign. The murmur of HCM is unusual in that it becomes louder on standing up (due to decreased venous return reducing the size of the heart).

**WHEN IS A TROPOGIN TO RULE OUT ACUTE CORONARY SYNDROME REQUIRED?**

Troponin is not required to rule out acute coronary syndrome (ACS) or myocardial infarction (MI) unless the ECG shows changes consistent with acute ischaemia. While high sensitivity troponin does have prognostic ability (ie, it can predict short-term (1 month) and long-term (1 year) risk of serious outcome and death), it is not practice changing in clinical practice as yet and should presently only be measured if ACS or MI is suspected.
DISCHARGE INSTRUCTIONS AND FOLLOW-UP
It is vital that all patients with syncope seen in the ED are assessed for and counselled with respect to their fitness to drive, and that this is detailed in their medical notes. Figure 5 summarises current UK DVLA Fitness to Drive guidelines. Note that guidelines will be very different in every country. In the UK, any patient with suspected cardiovascular syncope, cough syncope or unexplained syncope and any class 2 (heavy goods vehicle) driver with vasovagal syncope must not drive from the time of their index presentation. These patients should be referred to a syncope specialist to confirm the diagnosis and driving advice.

If low-risk patients require syncope clinic follow-up, there are no guidelines or evidence to suggest the timing of this and these patients should be seen routinely as per local protocols. Again there are no guidelines as to the timing of when high-risk patients requiring syncope clinic follow-up should be seen. If the patient was not seen by a syncope specialist in the ED observation facility or while in inpatient this should be on an urgent basis within 2 weeks.

REFLECTIONS ON CASE EXAMPLES

Case 1
While the ED clinician may be concerned by the patient’s age, history of hypertension and first-degree heart block on the ECG, it must be remembered that although older patients have a higher incidence of underlying cardiac disease, reflex or postural syncope is still common. In the absence of high-risk features and in the presence of suggestive features such as the precipitating lightheadedness, diaphoresis and nausea in this case prior to the collapse, a diagnosis of reflex syncope can safely be made.

Case 2
This case highlights two key points. First the absence of suggestive symptoms of reflex or postural syncope and the presence of a high-risk feature (ie, no prewarning), this patient must be classed as high-risk syncope. The patient should undergo a period of ED/inpatient monitoring and should be investigated with longer monitoring and echocardiography if there is any history, physical exam or ECG signs of structural heart disease. Second and just as important, the patient should be told to refrain from driving at least until the cause of their syncope is explained. Procedures for informing driving authorities is country specific, that is, in the UK the patient has a duty to inform the DVLA whereas in the USA the clinician has a duty to report.

Case 3
This case is more challenging. The patient is young, yet suffered syncope without prodrôme and significant trauma. An atypical presentation was considered (ie, there were no signs of underlying cardiac disease yet also a lack of low-risk reflex features including prodrôme) and an ambulatory patch monitor was placed. This showed a 26 s pause (figure 6) likely due to non-classical reflex syncope (ie, reflex syncope without reflex features including prodrôme). SA node dysfunction would more likely be associated with an escape rhythm. In view of the severity and regularity of symptoms (two further episodes had occurred all with associated trauma subsequent to the index presentation) and the psychological impact of the events, a pacemaker was implanted which halted the episodes.

CONCLUSION
Syncope is a common ED presentation. The first task is to differentiate syncope from seizure, and, if syncope, rule out an underlying cause. A thorough history of the event and an ECG are essential to determine features suggesting high-risk syncope requiring urgent investigation and admission (or management in a clinical decision or observation unit if available). In cases where benign causes of syncope are suspected, orthostatic BP and carotid sinus massage may be useful. Echocardiography is useful if structural heart disease is suspected; however, troponin is not helpful unless there is a concern for ischaemia based on the history or ECG.
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