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An 84 year old woman, who lived in a residential home, was referred to the acute medical assessment unit with a two day history of increasing confusion of unclear cause. She was unable to provide a clear history, but her daughter mentioned that she was not usually confused. On examination, her temperature was 36.8°C, her heart rate was 67 beats per minute, and her blood pressure was 135/70 mm Hg. She looked dehydrated and was noted to be incontinent of dark, offensive smelling urine. Urinary tract infection was suspected.

What is the next investigation?

Suspected urinary tract infection is a common scenario when evaluating ill older adults. The diagnosis may be challenging, as patients are often unable to provide a history of acute urinary symptoms (for example, owing to delirium or dementia), and asymptomatic bacteriuria (see table 1 for definitions) is common in older people. The prevalence of asymptomatic bacteriuria is so high in older people (up to 50% in older women) that it does not necessarily indicate acute illness and is not, on its own, an indication for treatment. Such diagnostic difficulties may lead to over-diagnosis, unnecessary antibiotic treatment, and delay in making the true diagnosis. A retrospective review of 265 case notes for patients diagnosed as having a urinary tract infection at the time of discharge from hospital found that 43% of patients had no evidence of actually having had a urinary tract infection.7

On the basis of prospective cohort studies, the Health Protection Agency and British Infection Association recommend that urinary tract infection can be diagnosed when at least three of the following symptoms are present: dysuria, frequency, suprapubic tenderness, urgency, polyuria, and haematuria.3 However, diagnosis becomes problematic when a patient is unable to provide a clear history of acute urinary symptoms, owing to the high prevalence of asymptomatic bacteriuria. The Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network (SIGN) guidelines recognise the difficulties in older people with the following statement: “In patients over 65 years of age, diagnosis should be based on a full clinical assessment, including vital signs.” Although some experts maintain that a diagnosis of urinary tract infection cannot be made without a clear history of acute urinary symptoms, we recognise that patients who are unable to provide such a history may still develop urinary tract infections. Thus, in our opinion, when an older person is unable to provide a definitive history of acute urinary symptoms, a urinary tract infection should be diagnosed only when evidence exists of bacteriuria (based on urine culture) and systemic inflammation (for example, fever/hypothermia or raised white cell count or C reactive protein) and, importantly, no other more likely cause of the acute illness exists. The following sections discuss the evidence base for this opinion, including the role and limitations of tests often used to try to confirm the diagnosis of urinary tract infection in older people. The presence of at least two systemic inflammatory response syndrome criteria (see box) is often used to define systemic inflammation, but this may be less useful in older patients.8

Character of urine

A change in character of urine is often a trigger for healthcare practitioners to suspect a urinary tract infection.4 A prospective cohort study of 399 clinically suspected episodes of urinary tract infection found that gross haematuria, or a change in colour or odour of the urine, had a positive predictive value of 47% (68/144) for bacteriuria plus pyuria.6 A change in character of urine may also be caused by dehydration, renal stones, or certain...
foodstuffs. It is not useful in making a diagnosis of urinary tract infection in older people.

Urine dipstick tests

Urine dipstick tests detect the presence of leucocyte esterase and nitrates, which are often used as surrogate markers of an elevated urinary white cell count (pyuria) and Gram-negative bacteriuria, respectively. However, as discussed below, the presence of pyuria or bacteriuria does not necessarily equate to the presence of urinary tract infection.

A prospective cohort study evaluated 101 nursing home residents in America with clinically suspected urinary tract infection. Positive leucocytes or nitrates had a positive predictive value of 45% (95% confidence interval 34% to 56%) for the presence of bacteriuria, whereas a negative dipstick test had a negative predictive value of 100% (74% to 100%). This study lends support to the recommendation from the SIGN guidelines: “Do not use dipstick tests for the diagnosis of UTI in older people.”

In our opinion, if a dipstick test has been done it should be considered useful only if the result is negative and no clinical features of urinary tract infection are present, thus excluding the latter. We do not recommend using urine dipstick tests to rule in the diagnosis of urinary tract infection in older people, on the basis of the evidence available from prospective studies and guideline recommendations.

Urine culture

The purpose of urine culture is to identify bacteriuria and determine sensitivity to antibiotics. A bacterial count of $10^8$ cfu/mL is widely considered to be “significant” bacteriuria, but lower counts have been shown to be relevant in men and women with symptoms of a urinary tract infection.

The diagnosis of urinary tract infection cannot be based on urine culture alone, however, as bacteriuria may be present in asymptomatic healthy people, as discussed in a previous article in this series. In older people, the prevalence of asymptomatic bacteriuria is so high that it cannot be considered an abnormal finding (table 2). The evidence summarised below suggests that treatment of asymptomatic bacteriuria is unlikely to be of benefit and instead may cause harm.

Non-specific symptoms such as fatigue, malaise, and weakness may sometimes be offered as evidence of a potential urinary tract infection requiring antimicrobial treatment. However, a prospective cohort study did not find any difference in these symptoms between patients with and without bacteriuria. Moreover, prospective randomised trials have shown that the treatment of asymptomatic bacteriuria in older people does not reduce the incidence of symptomatic urinary tract infection or improve chronic genitourinary symptoms, and a randomised controlled trial of 385 patients confirmed that the treatment of asymptomatic bacteriuria does not affect mortality. Young women prescribed antibiotics for asymptomatic bacteriuria in a randomised trial had a threefold higher rate of recurrence of symptomatic urinary tract infection than those not prescribed antibiotics.

Antibiotic treatment for asymptomatic bacteriuria in older people has not been shown to be of any benefit and may in fact cause harm, as a result of adverse effects such as rash, drug interactions, development of antibiotic resistance, and disruption of the human microbiome, increasing the risk of super-infection. SIGN calculated a number needed to harm of three when antibiotic treatment is given for asymptomatic bacteriuria in older women and advises against treatment of asymptomatic bacteriuria in older people. Thus urine cultures should not be requested for patients who are asymptomatic or who have non-specific features of “general decline,” which are common in older patients, without evidence of systemic inflammation; they should also not be requested solely on the basis of a positive dipstick test. Requests for urine culture in older people should be limited to two situations. The first is patients with acute urinary symptoms typical of a urinary tract infection, to confirm susceptibility to empirical antibiotic treatment. A negative culture in patients with symptoms does not necessarily rule out infection—for example, if the laboratory does not report bacterial counts below $10^5$ cfu/mL or if the patient has taken antibiotics before sampling. The second is patients who are unable to provide a history of acute urinary symptoms but have features of systemic inflammation, such as fever/hypothermia or raised white cell count or CRP reactive protein (see Blood tests section), and no other more likely source of infection or explanation for their acute illness.

The UK Standards for Microbiology Investigations recommend midstream urine samples or clean catch specimens for routine diagnosis of bacteriuria. Alternatively, a sample may be obtained by use of an external condom catheter for male patients.
A prospective cohort study showed that a simple standardised method for collection of urine for culture by external condom catheter had a sensitivity of 90% compared with in and out catheterisation.  

Urinary white cell count (pyuria)

Clinicians may erroneously interpret pyuria (indicated by an elevated urinary white cell count) as evidence of urinary tract infection in patients with bacteriuria. However, a prospective cohort study found evidence of pyuria in 94% (81% to 97%) of patients with asymptomatic bacteriuria. On the basis of this evidence, the Infectious Diseases Society of America guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of asymptomatic bacteriuria recommend that pyuria is not used to differentiate urinary tract infection from asymptomatic bacteriuria, noting that pyuria may also be found in older people without bacteriuria.

Blood tests

Older patients may not develop a fever in the presence of bacterial infection. Blood white cell count or C reactive protein may be used as evidence of bacterial infection but are not specific to urinary tract infection. A prospective cohort study of 221 older people who presented to the emergency department showed that a white cell count threshold of 14 000 cells/mm³ had a positive predictive value of 39% for bacterial infection and a negative predictive value of 90%. Another prospective cohort study of 232 people admitted to elderly care wards showed that a C reactive protein of more than 60 mg/L had a positive predictive value of 92% for bacterial infection, with values less than 60 mg/L having a negative predictive value of 90%. These studies were not done specifically for the diagnosis of urinary tract infection, so results of blood tests should be interpreted in the context of other clinical features and test results.

Outcome

The patient was admitted to hospital under the care of a geriatric medicine team trained in comprehensive geriatric assessment. She had recently started taking codeine for knee pain and developed symptoms and signs consistent with delirium shortly after. No clinical, biochemical, or radiological features consistent with infection were present, although she was dehydrated. A clean catch urine sample, sent by the emergency department, yielded Proteus mirabilis at greater than 10⁹ cfu/mL of urine with pyuria. She did not receive antibiotics for the bacteriuria, as she had no history of acute urinary symptoms or evidence of systemic inflammation. Instead, a diagnosis of delirium secondary to dehydration and opioid treatment was made. She made a good recovery, following a tailored, multi-component intervention including appropriate fluid therapy, laxatives, and a change from codeine to paracetamol.
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### Tables

#### Table 1 | Definitions of terms relating to urinary tract infection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bacteriuria</td>
<td>The presence of bacteria in the urine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asymptomatic bacteriuria</td>
<td>The presence of bacteria in the urine without typical symptoms or signs of urinary tract infection; quantitative counts of greater than $10^5$ colony forming units per millilitre of urine (cfu/mL) are considered diagnostic of asymptomatic bacteriuria if found in a single sample in men or on two consecutive occasions in women.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urinary tract infection</td>
<td>Infection caused by invasion of the urinary tract by microorganisms, with symptoms and signs that can be attributed to such an infection; in patients able to provide a history, these should comprise at least three of the following: dysuria, urgency, frequency, or suprapubic tenderness (see text for discussion of clinical features)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Table 2 | Prevalence of asymptomatic bacteriuria in different populations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Prevalence (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Older people (&gt;70 years) living in community:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>10.8 to 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>3.6 to 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Older people (&gt;70 years) living in long term care:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>25 to 50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>15 to 40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients with long term catheters</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adapted from Infectious Diseases Society of America’s guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of asymptomatic bacteriuria in adults.⁷